

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/809,128	03/25/2004	Andrej Astachow	071308.0542	7682	
31625 7590	12/19/2005		EXAMINER		
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.			GORMAN, DARREN W		
PATENT DEPART	<b>TMENT</b>		<u></u>		
98 SAN JACINTO	BLVD., SUITE 1500		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, TX 787	701-4039		3752		

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6	,
~	

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/809,128	ASTACHOW ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Darren W. Gorman	3752	

	. 0. 000,1.20	_				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Darren W. Gorman	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
. Mathematical The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing data of this Adv	•	a final rejection, whicheve	arie later In no			
b) Mean the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month pearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date			
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be		educing or simplifying	g the issues for			
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		omnliant Amendmen	+ (PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant Amendmen	(( 10L-024).			
<ol> <li>∴ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s).</li> <li>∴ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro</li> </ol>		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		1				
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut before or on the date of filing a	Notice of Anneal will I	not he entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a			
10.  ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered be <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:			
<ul><li>12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claim 17 does not clearly limit the annular groove to only the portion below the upper limit of the guiding surface as Applicant is suggesting. Furthermore, the reservoir as shown in Figure 3 of Kanesaka, and as applied by the Examiner, is also an annular groove in the top part of the chamber above the upper limit of the guiding surface. In Figure 3 of Kanesaka, the entire reservoir, both above and below the guiding surface, is an annular groove formed within the valve body piece (2), and therefore clearly has a depth of about half the guide length as shown.

David A. Scherbel'
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700